UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,705	10/31/2008	George J. Christ	967001139	9636
	7590 10/23/200 THSTEIN & EBENST	EXAMINER		
90 PARK AVENUE			NGUYEN, QUANG	
NEW YORK, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/579,705	CHRIST ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	QUANG NGUYEN, Ph.D.	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this con (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· <u> </u>	-· action is non-final.					
'		coaution as to the	morito io			
			ments is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-12,15,19-36,38 and 42-44</u> is/are p	ending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1, 4-12, 15, 19-36, 38 and 42-44</u> are	subject to restriction and/or electi	on requirement				
0/23 Claim(0) 1, 1 12, 10, 10 00, 00 and 12 11 alo		on requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	·.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori 	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No	Stage			
	•	a in this realistic	, lago			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	and continued copies institutions	.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application				
. apor rio(o), man bato	·/					

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 4-12, 15, 19-36, 38 and 42-44 are pending in the present application, and they are subjected to the following restrictions.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1, 4-12, 15, 19-23, 25-36, 38 and 42-44, drawn to an *in vivo* method of regulating smooth muscle tone in a subject.

Group II, claim 24, drawn to a method of regulating smooth muscle tone in a subject comprising the steps of transfecting cells *ex vivo* and transplanting the transfected cells into the subject.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The *in vivo* method of Group I does not require the steps of transfecting cells *ex vivo* and transplanting the transfected cells back into the subject as required by the method of Group II. Please note that each different method step can be considered to be a "special technical feature"; and therefore the methods listed as Groups I-II lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

Page 3

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (h).

Species restriction:

<u>Should Applicants elect either Group I or Group II</u>, this application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Different restricted groups of species are as follows:

- 1. <u>A single specific smooth muscle cell</u> recited in the Markush group of claim 6.
- 2. <u>A single specific potassium channel protein</u> recited in the Markush group of claim 10 or claim 36.
- 3. <u>A single specific introduced method</u> recited in the Markush group of claim 19.

4. <u>A single specific dysfunction</u> recited in the Markush group of claim 27.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species within each restricted groups 1-4 listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species within each restricted groups 1-4 lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Each of the aforementioned species within each restricted groups 1-4 is different at least structurally, chemically and/or has different properties one from the others. Therefore, each different structure can be considered to be a "special technical feature"; and therefore the listed species lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,705

Art Unit: 1633

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang Nguyen, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0776.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's SPE, Joseph T. Woitach, Ph.D., may be reached at (571) 272-0739.

To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1633; Central Fax No. (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

/QUANG NGUYEN/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633